

Lorentzian N-Bakry-Émery cosmological singularity and splitting theorems

Eric Woolgar

Dept of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences
University of Alberta
`ewoolgar@math.ualberta.ca`
`http://www.math.ualberta.ca/~ewoolgar`

October 2015

Talk based on

- EW and William Wylie, *Cosmological singularity theorems and splitting theorems for N -Bakry-Émery spacetimes*, arxiv:1509:05734.
- Gregory J Galloway and EW, *Cosmological singularities in Bakry-Émery spacetimes*, J Geom Phys 86 (2014) 359–369.

Related work

- Matthew Rupert and EW, *Bakry-Émery black holes*, Class Quantum Gravit 31 (2014) 025008.

Anniversaries

- Hundredth anniversary of Einstein's Berlin Academic lectures: final form of field equations.
- Hundredth anniversary of Hilbert's variational derivation.
- Fiftieth anniversary of Penrose's singularity theorem.
- Almost the fiftieth anniversary of Hawking's 3 papers on cosmological singularity theorems.
- Thirtieth anniversary of the publication of Bakry and Émery's seminal paper on Markovian diffusions.

Prototype Singularity/Splitting theorems

- Hawking (1967)

If

- $R_{ab}t^at^b \geq 0$ for every timelike t^a ,
- S is a compact spacelike hypersurface without edge, and
- the (future) mean curvature of S is $H < 0$ everywhere,

then spacetime is not timelike geodesically complete.

- Indeed, if S is a Cauchy surface, then no timelike geodesic is future-complete.

- Geroch (1966)

If we relax the mean curvature condition to $H \leq 0$, and if the spacetime is future-timelike geodesically complete, then it is flat.

Riemannian prototypes

- Myers (1941)
 - Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold with $\text{Ric} \geq kg$ for some $k > 0$. Then (M, g) has finite diameter and finite fundamental group.
- Cheeger-Gromoll (1971)
 - If $\text{Ric} \geq 0$ and (M, g) contains a complete, maximal geodesic, then it is isometric to $(\mathbb{R} \times S, dt^2 + g_S)$.

Note: These theorems are not true prototypes because they make no hypersurface assumption, giving the proofs a different character.

Extensions

Can one relax the assumptions of these theorems?

- “Averaged” conditions $\int_0^\infty \text{Ric}(\gamma', \gamma') ds \geq 0$.
- Bakry-Émery: Replace pointwise sign condition on Ric, e.g.,

$$\text{Ric}(X, X) \geq 0$$

for all X (or for a class of X), with a similar pointwise sign condition on

$$\text{Ric}_f^N := \text{Ric} + \text{Hess } f - \frac{1}{(N - n)} df \otimes df ,$$

f is the *weight function*, N is the *synthetic dimension*; conditions on f , N ?

- Both? e.g.:
 - $\int_0^\infty \text{Ric}_f^N(\gamma', \gamma') ds \geq 0$, $N > n$
 - $\int_0^\infty e^{2f(s)/(n-1)} \text{Ric}_f^N(\gamma', \gamma') ds \geq 0$, $f \leq k$, $N < 1$ or $N = \infty$.

Ubiquity of Bakry-Émery

- Harmonic Einstein equation: $\text{Ric} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_X g = 0$: special case $X = df$.
- Gradient Ricci soliton equation: $\text{Ric} + \text{Hess } f = \lambda g$.
- Lichnérowicz (1970): Cheeger-Gromoll-type splitting, assuming
 - $N = n + 1$
 - $\text{Ric}_f^{n+1} \geq 0$
 - f bounded (can relax to f bounded above).
- Homage à Monge:
 - Dimension-curvature condition: $\text{Ric}_f^N \geq \lambda g$.
 - Use optimal transportation to prove analytical results: e.g., displacement convexity of entropy.
 - Bakry and Émery (1985).
 - Otto and Villani (2000).
 - Lott and Villani (2009): Synthetic Ricci curvature.
 - Cordero-Erausquin, McCann, and Schmuckenschläger (2006).

Physics: Kaluza-Klein theorems

- Warped product $\mathcal{N}^N = \mathcal{M}^n \times_{\varepsilon e^{-f/(N-n)}} \mathcal{F}$

$$g_{\mathcal{N}} = g_{\mathcal{M}} \oplus \varepsilon^2 e^{-2f/(N-n)} g_{\mathcal{F}}$$

- Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}(g) = & \left[\text{Ric}(g_{\mathcal{M}}) + \text{Hess}_{g_{\mathcal{M}}} f - \frac{1}{(N-n)} df \otimes df \right] \\ & \oplus \left[\text{Ric}(g_{\mathcal{F}}) + \frac{1}{(N-n)} e^{-2f/(N-n)} g_{\mathcal{F}} L(f) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$L(f) = \Delta_{g_{\mathcal{M}}} f - \frac{(N-n+1)}{(N-n)} df \oplus df ,$$

- Justifies the term *synthetic dimension*.

Physics: Kaluza-Klein theorems

- Warped product $\mathcal{N}^N = \mathcal{M}^n \times_{\varepsilon e^{-f/(N-n)}} \mathcal{F}$

$$g_{\mathcal{N}} = g_{\mathcal{M}} \oplus \varepsilon^2 e^{-2f/(N-n)} g_{\mathcal{F}}$$

- Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}(g) = & \left[\text{Ric}(g_{\mathcal{M}}) + \text{Hess}_{g_{\mathcal{M}}} f - \frac{1}{(N-n)} df \otimes df \right] \\ & \oplus \left[\text{Ric}(g_{\mathcal{F}}) + \frac{1}{(N-n)} e^{-2f/(N-n)} g_{\mathcal{F}} L(f) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$L(f) = \Delta_{g_{\mathcal{M}}} f - \frac{(N-n+1)}{(N-n)} df \oplus df .$$

- Justifies the term *synthetic dimension*.

Physics: Scalar-tensor gravity

- Prototype: the Brans-Dicke family in $n = 4$ spacetime dimensions

$$\text{Ric} - \frac{1}{\varphi} \text{Hess } \varphi - \frac{\omega}{\varphi^2} d\varphi \otimes d\varphi = \frac{8\pi}{\varphi} \tau ,$$

$$\tau := T - \frac{(1 + \omega)}{(3 + 2\omega)} (\text{tr } T) g ,$$

$$\Delta \varphi = \frac{8\pi}{(3 + 2\omega)} \text{tr } T .$$

- $\omega \in (-\frac{3}{2}, \infty)$ is family parameter, $\varphi \sim 1/G_{\text{Newton}}$.
- For $\varphi := e^{-f}$, get

$$\text{Ric}_f^N \equiv \text{Ric} + \text{Hess } f - \frac{1}{(N - 4)} df \otimes df = 8\pi e^f \tau ,$$

$$N = \frac{5 + 4\omega}{1 + \omega} .$$

Negative synthetic dimension arises

We have

- $n = 4$.
- $N = \frac{5+4\omega}{1+\omega}$.
- $\omega \in \left(-\frac{3}{2}, \infty\right)$.

Then ω and N are related via:

- $N \in (4, \infty) \Leftrightarrow \omega \in (-1, \infty)$.
- $N = \infty \Leftrightarrow \omega = -1$ (dilaton gravity).
- $N \in (-\infty, 2) \Leftrightarrow \omega \in \left(-\frac{3}{2}, -1\right)$.

Timelike curvature-dimension condition

- Fix some $N \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.
- The *timelike curvature-dimension condition* $\text{TCD}(\lambda, N)$ is

$$\text{Ric}_f^N(X, X) \geq \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$$

for every unit timelike vector X .

- The $\text{TCD}(0, N)$ condition reduces to $\text{Ric}(X, X) \geq 0$ if f is constant.
- In general relativity:
 - $\text{Ric}(X, X) \geq 0$ follows from the *strong energy condition*.
 - $\lambda = -\Lambda/(n-1)$, $\Lambda = \text{cosmological constant}$.


Typical conditions on f when $N = \infty$ or $N \leq 1$

These conditions are only needed when $N = \infty$ or $N \leq 1$ (or $N \leq 2$ for certain Lorentzian theorems)

- (a) The “classic” condition: $f \leq k$.
- (b) Wylie’s weaker condition: $\int_0^\infty e^{-2f(t)/(n-1)} dt = \infty$ along (certain) complete geodesics.¹
- (c) Sometimes need a stronger condition: ∇f future-timelike to the future of a Cauchy surface S .

Note that

- (1) If (a) holds, then (b) holds for every complete geodesic.
- (2) If (c) holds and S is compact, then (a) holds to the future of S .
- (3) (b) says that complete geodesics are assumed also complete in the parameter $s = s(t) = \int_0^t e^{-2f(u)/(n-1)} du$.

¹ $f(t)$ is short-hand for $f \circ \gamma(t)$ where γ is a geodesic. 

Lorentzian results

- JS Case (2010)
 - $N \in (n, \infty]$
 - Hawking-Penrose theorem
 - Timelike splitting theorem
- GJ Galloway and EW
 - $N = \infty, f \leq k$.
 - Hawking's cosmological singularity theorem for nonnegative cosmological constant.
 - Splitting theorem for non-positive CMC Cauchy surface.
- EW and W Wylie
 - Generalize GJG and EW to $N \in (n, \infty] \cup (-\infty, 1]$.
 - For $N = 1$, splitting theorem yields a warped product.
- EW and WW in progress:
 - Generalize Case's Hawking-Penrose theorem to $N \in (-\infty, 2]$.
 - Generalize Case's timelike splitting theorem to $N \in (-\infty, 1]$.
 - Galloway's null splitting theorem.

Case's splitting conjecture

Take $N \in (n, \infty]$.

- *Case's hard question:*

- (M, g) is globally hyperbolic with compact Cauchy surface S .
- (M, g) is timelike geodesically complete.
- $\text{TCD}(0, N)$ holds.
- If $N = \infty$ then $f \leq k$.

Then does (M, g) split isometrically as $(\mathbb{R} \times S, -dt^2 \oplus h)$, with f constant in time?

- *Why it's hard:* If *a priori* we set $f = \text{const}$, this is *Bartnik's splitting conjecture*.
- *Case's tractable question:*
 - With the above assumptions and
 - if S has f -mean curvature $H_f = 0$,

then does (M, g) split isometrically as $(\mathbb{R} \times S, -dt^2 \oplus h)$, with f constant in time?

Hawking-type cosmological singularity theorem

Assume that

- TCD(0, N) holds for some fixed $N \in (-\infty, 1] \cup (n, \infty]$,
- S is a compact Cauchy surface, ν its future unit normal,
- the (future) f -mean curvature of S obeys $H_f := H - \nabla_\nu f < 0$ everywhere, and
- if $N \in [-\infty, 1]$ then $\int_0^\infty e^{-2f(s)/(n-1)} ds$ diverges along every complete timelike geodesic orthogonal to S .

Then no timelike geodesic is future-complete.

Hawking-type cosmological singularity theorem

Assume that

- $\text{TCD}(0, N)$ holds for some fixed $N \in (-\infty, 1] \cup (n, \infty]$,
- S is a compact Cauchy surface, ν its future unit normal,
- the (future) f -mean curvature of S obeys $H_f := H - \nabla_\nu f < 0$ everywhere, and
- if $N \in [-\infty, 1]$ then $\int_0^\infty e^{-2f(s)/(n-1)} ds$ diverges along every complete timelike geodesic orthogonal to S .

Then no timelike geodesic is future-complete.

- Recall $\text{TCD}(0, N) \Rightarrow \text{Ric}(X, X) + \text{Hess}(X, X)f - \frac{1}{(N-n)} \langle df, X \rangle^2 \geq 0$.
- When $N > n$, the $\langle df, X \rangle^2$ term *helps*: no control of f required.
- When $N \leq 1$, the $\langle df, X \rangle^2$ term *hinders*: **but can still obtain a theorem** if we have mild control of f .

Splitting theorem

Assume that

- $\text{TCD}(0, N)$ holds for some fixed $N \in (-\infty, 1] \cup (n, \infty]$,
- S is a compact Cauchy surface, ν its future unit normal,
- the (future) f -mean curvature of S obeys $H_f := H - \nabla_\nu f \leq 0$ everywhere,
- if $N \in [-\infty, 1]$ then $\int_0^\infty e^{-2f(s)/(n-1)} ds$ diverges along every complete timelike geodesic orthogonal to S , and
- the geodesics orthogonal to S are future-complete.

Then,

- if $N \in (-\infty, 1) \cup (n, \infty]$, the future of S is isometric to $-dt^2 \oplus h$ and f is independent of t (answers Case's tractable question).
- if $N = 1$, the future of S is isometric to $-dt^2 \oplus e^{2\psi(t)/(n-1)} h$ and $f = \psi(t) + \phi(y)$, $y \in S$.

Positive cosmological constant singularity theorem

Assume that

- $\text{TCD}(-(n-1), N)$ holds for some fixed $N \in (-\infty, 1] \cup (n, \infty]$,
- S is a compact Cauchy surface, ν its future unit normal,
- the (future) f -mean curvature of S obeys $H_f := H - \nabla_\nu f < -(n-1)$ everywhere, and
- if $N \in [-\infty, 1]$ then ∇f is future-causal to the future of S .

Then no timelike geodesic is future-complete.

Alternative version for $N \in [-\infty, 1]$

There are a number of versions that do not require that ∇f be future-causal, but require other assumptions to be strengthened; e.g.,
If

- $\text{TCD}(-(n-1)e^{-4f/(n-1)}, N)$ holds for some $N \in [-\infty, 1]$,
- $H_f < -(n-1)e^{-2\inf_S f/(n-1)}$ on compact Cauchy surface S , and
- $\int_0^\infty e^{-2f(s)/(n-1)} ds$ diverges along every complete timelike geodesic orthogonal to S ,

then no timelike geodesic is future-complete.

Splitting theorem

For $N \in [-\infty, 1] \cup (n, \infty]$, assume that

- $\text{TCD}(-(n-1), N)$ holds for some fixed $N \in (-\infty, 1] \cup (n, \infty]$,
- S is a compact Cauchy surface, ν its future unit normal,
- the (future) f -mean curvature of S obeys $H_f := H - \nabla_\nu f \leq -(n-1)$ everywhere,
- ∇f is future-causal, and
- the geodesics orthogonal to S are future-complete.

Then the future of S splits as a warped product $-dt^2 \oplus e^{-2t}h$ and f is constant.

Alternative splitting theorem

For $N > n$, assume that

- $\text{TCD}(-(N-1), N)$ holds for some fixed $N \in (-\infty, 1] \cup (n, \infty]$,
- S is a compact Cauchy surface, ν its future unit normal,
- the (future) f -mean curvature of S obeys
$$H_f := H - \nabla_\nu f \leq -(N-1) \text{ everywhere, and}$$
- the geodesics orthogonal to S are future-complete.

Then the future of S splits as a warped product $-dt^2 \oplus e^{-2t}h$ and $f = (N-n)t + f_S(y)$, $y \in S$.

The (timelike) f -Raychaudhuri equation

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = -\text{Ric}(\gamma', \gamma') - |K|^2 = -\text{Ric}(\gamma', \gamma') - |\sigma|^2 - \frac{H^2}{(n-1)}$$

Use $H_f := H - f'$ and use definition of Ric_f^N . Get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial H_f}{\partial t} &= -\text{Ric}_f^N(\gamma', \gamma') - |\sigma|^2 - \frac{H^2}{(n-1)} - \frac{f'^2}{(N-n)} \\ &= -\text{Ric}_f^N(\gamma', \gamma') - |\sigma|^2 - \frac{1}{(n-1)} \left[H_f^2 + 2H_f f' + \frac{(1-N)}{(n-N)} f'^2 \right]\end{aligned}$$

Analyse this. Use that H_f diverges along γ at finite t iff H diverges.

- First line: If $N > n$ each term on right is ≤ 0 (assuming $\text{TCD}(0, N)$).
- Second line: Coefficient of f'^2 has same sign for $N < 1$ as for $N > n$, but must deal with $H_f f'$ term.

Example of a focusing argument: TCD(0, N) case

- For $N > n$, an easy identity yields

$$\frac{\partial H_f}{\partial t} \leq -\text{Ric}_f^N(\gamma', \gamma') - |\sigma|^2 - \frac{H_f^2}{(N-1)}$$
$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} \leq -x^2, \quad x := H_f/(N-1), \quad \text{using TCD}(0, N).$$

- Otherwise, use an integrating factor to eliminate $H_f f'$ term:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(e^{\frac{2f}{(n-1)}} H_f \right) = -e^{\frac{2f}{(n-1)}} \left[\text{Ric}_f^N(\gamma', \gamma') + |\sigma|^2 + H_f^2 + \frac{(1-N)f'^2}{(n-N)(n-1)} \right]$$
$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} \leq -e^{-\frac{2f}{(n-1)}} x^2, \quad x := e^{\frac{2f}{(n-1)}} H_f, \quad \text{using TCD}(0, N).$$

- Now $x(0) \leq x_0 < 0$.
$$\begin{cases} x(t) \leq \frac{1}{t+1/x_0}, & N > n \\ x(t) \leq \frac{1}{\int_0^t e^{-2f(s)/(n-1)} ds + 1/x_0}, & N \in [-\infty, 1] \end{cases}$$
- Thus $x(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \nearrow t_0$.

Completion of the argument.

- $x \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow t_0$ for some $t_0 \leq T(x_0) \leq T$.
- Thus $H \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow t_0$ for some $t_0 \leq T(x_0) \leq T$.
- Thus no future-timelike geodesic orthogonal to S can maximize beyond $t = T$.
- If there were a future-complete timelike geodesic γ , there would be a sequence of maximizing geodesics from S to γ , meeting S orthogonally and of unbounded length.
- Thus there can be no future-complete timelike geodesic. QED.

Example of a splitting argument

- Now $H_f \leq 0$, and we assume future completeness.
- If $H_f < 0$ on S , cannot be future complete, so $H_f = 0$ at least somewhere on S .
- If H_f is not *identically* zero on S , do short f -mean curvature flow.

$$\frac{\partial X}{\partial s} = -H_f \nu .$$

- Strong maximum principle implies that $H_f(s) < 0$ for $s > 0$ (and still Cauchy).
- Therefore must have $H_f \equiv 0$ on S .
- And must have $H_f(t) \equiv 0$, so each term on right in Raychaudhuri equation must vanish.

Splitting argument: continued

- For $N > n$, recall

$$\frac{\partial H_f}{\partial t} = -\text{Ric}_f^N(\gamma', \gamma') - |\sigma|^2 - \frac{H^2}{(n-1)} - \frac{f'^2}{(N-n)} .$$

- Must have $H_f \equiv 0$ on $(0, t)$.
- Thus $\sigma = 0$, $H = 0$, $f' = 0$ on $(0, t)$.
- $g = -dt^2 \oplus h$, $f' = 0$, and since the γ are future-complete, the splitting is global.

Splitting argument: continued

- For $N \in [-\infty, 1]$, had

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(e^{\frac{2f}{(n-1)}} H_f \right) = & - e^{\frac{2f}{(n-1)}} \left[\text{Ric}_f^N(\gamma', \gamma') + |\sigma|^2 \right. \\ & \left. + H_f^2 + \frac{(1-N)f'^2}{(n-N)(n-1)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

- Must have $H_f \equiv 0$ on $(0, t)$.
- Thus $\sigma = 0$, $H = f'$, and *either* $f' = 0$ or $N = 1$, on $(0, t)$.
- If $N \neq 1$, get $H = 0$ and get global product splitting as before.
- If $N = 1$, use also that $\text{Ric}_f^1(\gamma', \gamma') = 0$ on $(0, t)$.
- A computation then yields the warped product of the theorem.

Further thoughts: Conjugate pairs

The timelike f -generic condition:

- Define $\mathcal{R}_{ijkl} := R_{ijkl} + \left[g \odot \left(\frac{1}{(n-1)} \text{Hess } f + \frac{1}{(n-1)^2} df \otimes df \right) \right]_{ijkl}$.
- The timelike f -generic condition is said to hold if along each future-complete timelike geodesic $\gamma(t)$ there is a t_0 such that

$$w^q w^r w_{[i} \mathcal{R}_{j]qr[k} w_{l]} \neq 0, \quad w := \gamma'(0).$$

- The shear σ and expansion θ_f (previously H_f) of a twist-free timelike congruence can be combined into $B_f := \sigma + \frac{1}{(n-1)} \theta_f \text{id}$.
- It obeys a matrix Riccati equation

$$B'_f + B_f \cdot B_f = -\bar{R}_f \dots (*)$$

- \bar{R}_f is non-zero $\Leftrightarrow w^q w^r w_{[i} \mathcal{R}_{j]qr[k} w_{l]} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow (*)$ nonhomogeneous.

Conjugate pairs: continued

Assume that

- $\text{TCD}(0, N)$ holds for some $N \in (-\infty, 1] \cup (n, \infty]$,
- the timelike f -generic condition holds, and
- if $N \in [-\infty, 1]$ then along each complete timelike geodesic,
$$\int_0^\infty e^{-f(s)/(n-1)} ds = \infty \text{ and } \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-f(s)/(n-1)} ds = \infty.$$

Then

- each complete timelike geodesic has a pair of conjugate points, so
- an inextendible maximal timelike geodesic is necessarily incomplete.
- All this also holds for *null geodesics*, except that the domain $N \in [-\infty, 1]$ will now extend to $N \in [-\infty, 2]$.

Another question of Case

- Is $tcd(0, N)$ weaker than the strong energy condition $\text{Ric}(X, X) \geq 0$?
 - That is, say
 - (M, g) is future timelike geodesically complete,
 - $\text{Ric}_f^N(g)(X, X) \geq 0$ for all timelike X ,
 - $f \leq k$ if $N \in [-\infty, 1]$.
 - Does M admit a future-timelike complete metric g_1 with $\text{Ric}(g_1)(X, X) \geq 0$?
 - If it also admits an $H_f \leq 0$ compact Cauchy surface, we know the answer is yes.
 - Posed in Riemannian setting by Wei-Wylie arxiv:0706.1120.
 - Posed in Lorentzian phrasing by Case arxiv:0712.1321.