

# Spacelike initial data for black hole stability

Arthur Touati (CNRS-Bordeaux)

Joint work with

Allen Juntao Fang (WWU) & Jérémie Szeftel (CNRS-LJLL)

Paris, March 20th 2025

# Cauchy formulation of general relativity

- **Cauchy data:**
  - $(\Sigma, g)$  a Riemannian 3-manifold,
  - $\pi$  a symmetric 2-tensor on  $\Sigma$ .
- **Cauchy formulation of EVE:** find  $(\mathcal{M}, g)$  with  $\mathbf{Ricci}(g) = 0$  and
  - $\Sigma$  is a spacelike hypersurface in  $\mathcal{M}$ ,
  - $(g, \pi)$  are the first and (reduced) second fundamental form  
 $\pi = k - (\text{tr}_g k)g$ .

**Theorem** (Choquet-Bruhat 1952, Choquet-Bruhat–Geroch 1969)

*Cauchy problem locally solvable  $\iff (\Sigma, g, \pi)$  solve constraint*

$$\begin{cases} R(g) + \frac{1}{2}(\text{tr}_g \pi)^2 - |\pi|_g^2 = 0, \\ \text{div}_g \pi = 0. \end{cases} \iff \Phi(g, \pi) = 0 \quad (\text{C})$$

# Stability of Kerr

## Theorem

Let  $|a| < m$ . Consider data on  $\Sigma$  of the form

$$(g, \pi) = (g_{m,a} + O(\varepsilon r^{-q-\delta}), \pi_{m,a} + O(\varepsilon r^{-q-\delta-1})).$$

Stability in *region II* holds with

- (Caciotta–Nicolò 2010)  $q \geq 3$  and  $0 < \delta < 1$ .
- (Shen 2023)  $q \geq 1$  and  $0 < \delta < 1$ .

Stability in *region III* holds with

- (Klainerman–Szeftel 2018)  $a = 0$ ,  $q = 1$  and  $\delta = (\frac{1}{2})_+$ .
- (D–H–R–T 2021)  $a = 0$ ,  $q = 2$  and  $\delta = (\frac{1}{2})_+$ .
- (K–S + G–K–S + S 2021)  $|a| \ll m$ ,  $q = 1$  and  $\delta = (\frac{1}{2})_+$ .

# A brief review of the constraint literature

## Conformal method(s):

- reduces the constraint equations to elliptic system for  $(\varphi, W)$

$$\begin{cases} 8\Delta_{\gamma}\varphi = R(\gamma)\varphi + \frac{2}{3}\tau^2\varphi^5 - |\sigma + K_{\gamma}W|_{\gamma}^2\varphi^{-7}, \\ \Delta_{\gamma,conf}W = \frac{2}{3}\varphi^6d\tau. \end{cases}$$

- get solutions with weak decay ( $q = 0$ ) but far from CMC.

## Gluing construction:

- glue any inner AF solution to exact Kerr,
- linear obstructions due to Minkowski symmetries.

# General perturbative recipe I

1. Start from an AF exact solution  $(\Sigma, \bar{g}, \bar{\pi})$ .
2. Add a decaying perturbation  $|\check{g}| + r|\check{\pi}| \lesssim \varepsilon r^{-q-\delta}$ :

$$g = \bar{g} + \check{g} \quad \pi = \bar{\pi} + \check{\pi}$$

3. Correct it with Corvino-Schoen conformal method:

$$g = (1 + u)^4(\bar{g} + \check{g}), \quad \pi = \bar{\pi} + \check{\pi} + L_{\bar{g}}X.$$

For such  $(g, \pi)$  the constraint equations  $\Phi(g, \pi) = 0$  become

$$P(u, X) = D\Phi[\bar{g}, \bar{\pi}](\check{g}, \check{\pi}) + NL$$

with the required decay

$$|u| + |X| \lesssim \varepsilon r^{-q-\delta}$$

## General perturbative recipe II

$$P(u, X) = D\Phi[\bar{g}, \bar{\pi}](\check{g}, \check{\pi}) + NL, \quad |u| + |X| \lesssim \varepsilon r^{-q-\delta}$$

4. Consider  $P : H^2_{-q-\delta}(\Sigma) \longrightarrow L^2_{-q-\delta-2}(\Sigma)$ .

$$D\Phi[\bar{g}, \bar{\pi}](\check{g}, \check{\pi}) + NL \in \text{im}(P) \iff D\Phi[\bar{g}, \bar{\pi}](\check{g}, \check{\pi}) + NL \perp \ker(P^*)$$

Modify the ansatz with compactly supported  $(\check{g}, \check{\pi})$ :

$$g = (1 + u)^4(\bar{g} + \check{g} + \check{g}), \quad \pi = \bar{\pi} + \check{\pi} + \check{\pi} + L_{\bar{g}}X.$$

5. Orthogonality conditions to ensure solvability become

$$\left\langle (\check{g}, \check{\pi}), D\Phi[\bar{g}, \bar{\pi}]^*(W) \right\rangle = - \left\langle D\Phi[\bar{g}, \bar{\pi}](\check{g}, \check{\pi}) + NL, W \right\rangle, \quad \forall W \in \ker(P^*)$$

**Question:** what is  $\ker(D\Phi[\bar{g}, \bar{\pi}]^*) \cap \ker(P^*)$ ?

# Cultural break

## **Theorem** (McOwen 1979)

Consider  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $0 < \delta < 1$  and  $\Delta : H^2_{-q-\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3) \longrightarrow L^2_{-q-\delta-2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ .

- If  $q = 0$ ,  $\Delta$  is an isomorphism.
- If  $q \geq 1$ ,  $\Delta$  is injective with

$$\ker(\Delta^*) = \left\{ \text{harmonic polynomials of degree} \leq q - 1 \right\}.$$

## **Theorem** (Moncrief 1975)

If  $(\Sigma, \bar{g}, \bar{\pi})$  gives rise to  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g})$  solving the Einstein vacuum equations, then

$$\ker(D\Phi[\bar{g}, \bar{\pi}]^*) \simeq \left\{ \text{Killing vector fields of } (\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g}) \right\}.$$

Elements of  $\ker(D\Phi[\bar{g}, \bar{\pi}]^*)$  are called the KIDS.

# General perturbative recipe III

**Question:** what is  $\ker(D\Phi[\bar{g}, \bar{\pi}]^*) \cap \ker(P^*)$ ?

**Bad news:**

$$P(u, X) = D\Phi[\bar{g}, \bar{\pi}](4u\bar{g}, 2u\bar{\pi} + L_{\bar{g}}X) \implies \ker(D\Phi[\bar{g}, \bar{\pi}]^*) \subset \ker(P^*)...$$

*...but the boundary condition for the elliptic system is not prescribed by the constraint equations!*

For  $\Sigma \simeq \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{r < 1\}$ , consider the elliptic operator with Robin boundary condition

$$P_F := \left( (P, B + F) : H^2_{-q-\delta}(\Sigma) \longrightarrow L^2_{-q-\delta-2}(\Sigma) \times H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Sigma) \right)$$

where  $P = \Delta_{\bar{g}} + \text{l.o.t}$  and  $B = \partial_{\nu} + \text{l.o.t}$ .



## Choice of $F$

$$P_F = \left( (P, B + F) : H^2_{-q-\delta}(\Sigma) \longrightarrow L^2_{-q-\delta-2}(\Sigma) \times H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Sigma) \right)$$

### Proposition

*There exists  $F \in C^\infty(\partial\Sigma)$  such that*

- 1. if  $q \geq 0$  then  $P_F$  is injective,*
- 2.  $F|_{\mathcal{U}} = 0$  and  $F|_{\mathcal{V}} = 1$  for  $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \subset \partial\Sigma$  two open subsets.*

- First part  $\longrightarrow$  characterize  $\ker(P_F^*)$ .
- Second part  $\longrightarrow$  distinguish  $\ker(P_F^*)$  and  $\ker(D\Phi[\bar{g}, \bar{\pi}]^*)$ .

## Choice of $F$

$$P_F = \left( (P, B + F) : H^2_{-q-\delta}(\Sigma) \longrightarrow L^2_{-q-\delta-2}(\Sigma) \times H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Sigma) \right)$$

### Proposition

*There exists  $F \in C^\infty(\partial\Sigma)$  such that*

- 1. if  $q \geq 0$  then  $P_F$  is injective,*
- 2.  $F|_{\mathcal{U}} = 0$  and  $F|_{\mathcal{V}} = 1$  for  $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \subset \partial\Sigma$  two open subsets.*

Let  $F_0$  such that  $\dim(\ker(P_{F_0})) > 0$  and  $\mathcal{W} \subset \partial\Sigma$  open and not dense. Using (Bartnik 1986) there exists  $F_1$  such that

$$\dim(\ker(P_{F_1})) < \dim(\ker(P_{F_0})) \quad \text{and} \quad F_1|_{\mathcal{W}} = F_0|_{\mathcal{W}}.$$

Start from  $F_0$  satisfying  $F_0|_{\mathcal{U}} = 0$  and  $F_0|_{\mathcal{V}} = 1$  and iterate with  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}$ .

# Characterization of $\ker(P_F^*)$

## Corollary

We have  $\dim(\ker(P_F^*)) = 4q^2$  and

$$(\mathbb{Y}, 0) \in \text{im}(P_F) \iff \mathbb{Y} \perp \ker(P_F^*).$$

Consider

$\mathbb{W}_{j,\ell}^{(e)}$  = harmonic polynomials of degree  $j - 1$  for the Euclidean metric

and solve

$$P_F^* \left( \widetilde{\mathbb{W}}_{j,\ell} \right) = -P_F^* \left( \mathbb{W}_{j,\ell}^{(e)} \right) \in L_{j-4}^2$$

Injectivity for  $P_F \implies$  surjectivity for  $P_F^*$ . Then

$$\left\{ \mathbb{W}_{j,\ell}^{(e)} + \widetilde{\mathbb{W}}_{j,\ell} \mid 1 \leq j \leq q, -(j-1) \leq \ell \leq j-1 \right\}$$

is a basis of  $\dim(\ker(P_F^*))$ .

# The KIDS are alright

## Lemma

If  $\mathbb{W} \in \ker(P_F^*)$ , then

$$D\Phi[\bar{g}, \bar{\pi}]^*(\mathbb{W}) = 0 \text{ on } \{r \in I\} \implies \mathbb{W} = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma.$$

1. **Analyticity on a NBH of  $\partial\Sigma$**   $\implies D\Phi[\bar{g}, \bar{\pi}]^*(\mathbb{W}) = 0$  on a NBH of  $\partial\Sigma$ .
2. Overdetermination of the KIDS  $\implies \mathbb{W}$  and  $B^*(\mathbb{W})$  analytic on  $\partial\Sigma$ .
3. By construction  $F|_{\mathcal{U}} = 0$  and  $F|_{\mathcal{V}} = 1$ .
  - $(B^* + F^*)(\mathbb{W}) = 0 \implies B^*(\mathbb{W})|_{\mathcal{U}} = 0 \implies B^*(\mathbb{W}) = 0$  on  $\partial\Sigma$
  - $F^*\mathbb{W} = 0 \implies \mathbb{W}|_{\mathcal{V}} = 0 \implies \mathbb{W} = 0$  on  $\partial\Sigma$
4. Thanks to unique continuation:

$$\begin{cases} P^*(\mathbb{W}) = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma \\ B^*(\mathbb{W}) = \mathbb{W} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Sigma \end{cases} \implies \mathbb{W} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma$$

# Solving the constraint

- We want to solve

$$P_F(u, X) = \left( D\Phi[\bar{g}, \bar{\pi}]((\check{g}, \check{\pi}) + (\check{g}, \check{\pi})) + NL(u, X, \check{g}, \check{\pi}), 0 \right)$$

- Solvable  $\iff$  orthogonality conditions against  $\ker(P_F^*) = \text{span}(\mathbb{W}_{j,\ell})$

$$\left\langle (\check{g}, \check{\pi}), D\Phi[\bar{g}, \bar{\pi}]^*(\mathbb{W}_{j,\ell}) \right\rangle = - \left\langle D\Phi[\bar{g}, \bar{\pi}](\check{g}, \check{\pi}) + NL(u, X, \check{g}, \check{\pi}), \mathbb{W}_{j,\ell} \right\rangle$$

- Consider

$$(\check{g}, \check{\pi}) \in \text{span}\left(\chi(r) D\Phi[\bar{g}, \bar{\pi}]^*(\mathbb{W}_{j,\ell})\right)$$

where  $\chi$  is supported in the analyticity region away from the boundary.

- Orthogonality conditions are solvable: the matrix

$$\left( \left\langle \chi(r) D\Phi[\bar{g}, \bar{\pi}]^*(\mathbb{W}_{j,\ell}), D\Phi[\bar{g}, \bar{\pi}]^*(\mathbb{W}_{j',\ell'}) \right\rangle \right)_{j,j',\ell,\ell'}$$

is invertible thanks to the KIDS Lemma.

# The result

## **Theorem** (Fang–Szeftel–T 2024)

*Let  $(\Sigma, \bar{g}, \bar{\pi})$  be AF initial data set with  $\Sigma \simeq \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{r < 1\}$ .*

*Assume analyticity near and at  $\partial\Sigma$ .*

*For all  $(\check{g}, \check{\pi})$  such that*

$$\|\check{g}\|_{H^2_{-q-\delta}(\Sigma)} + \|\check{\pi}\|_{H^1_{-q-\delta-1}(\Sigma)} \leq \varepsilon,$$

*there exists a solution of the constraint equations of the form*

$$(g, \pi) = \left( (1 + u)^4 (\bar{g} + \check{g} + \check{g}), \bar{\pi} + \check{\pi} + \check{\pi} + L_{\bar{g}}X \right),$$

*with  $(\check{g}, \check{\pi})$  compactly supported and in a space of dimension  $4q^2$  and with*

$$\|(u, X)\|_{H^2_{-q-\delta}(\Sigma)} + \|(\check{g}, \check{\pi})\| \lesssim \varepsilon.$$

In particular  $|\bar{g} - g| + r|\bar{\pi} - \pi| \lesssim \varepsilon r^{-q-\delta}$ .

## Conclusive remarks

- **Analyticity of the KIDS:** at leading order

$$D\Phi[\bar{g}, \bar{\pi}](h, \varpi) = (\Delta_{\bar{g}} \text{tr}_{\bar{g}} h - \text{div}_{\bar{g}} \text{div}_{\bar{g}} h, \text{div}_{\bar{g}} \varpi)$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$D\Phi[\bar{g}, \bar{\pi}]^*(f, X) = (\text{Hess}_{\bar{g}} f - (\Delta_{\bar{g}} f) \bar{g}, \mathcal{L}_X \bar{g})$$

Therefore KIDS satisfy an elliptic system on  $\Sigma$  and on  $\partial\Sigma$ .

- **Application to gluing:** glue to arbitrarily decaying perturbations of Kerr.
- **Application to black holes:** need careful choice of the initial hypersurface entering the horizon.
- **Link with Allen's talk!**